



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/650,712	08/29/2000	Rico Mariani	MS1-579US	1048	
22801	7590 01/19/2006		EXAMINER		
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			CHEN, SH	CHEN, SHIN HON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2131		

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		MARIANI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	09/650,712 Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Shin-Hon Chen	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1		
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Description</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)	vn from consideration. /are rejected. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b) □ objected to b	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10, 17-23, 27, 28, 30-32, and 34 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10, 17-23, 27, 28, 30-32, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balasubramaniam et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6499109 (hereinafter Bal) in view of Cox et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6253323 (hereinafter Cox).
- 4. As per claim 1, Bal discloses a method, comprising: delivering the web page to an electronic device capable of authenticating the web page and executing at least a portion of the web page after the web page is authenticated based on the URL (Bal: column 2 line 43 column 3 line 19; column 6 lines 20-29: check whether the web site is authorized web site). Bal does not explicitly disclose associating a digital signature with a web page and authenticating the web page based on the digital signature. However, Cox discloses attaching digital signatures to web contents including web pages are well known in the art (Cox: column 5 lines 7-21 and column 5 line 54 column 6 line 12). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill to use the URL to determine whether digital signature associated with the web page is secure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's

Art Unit: 2131

invention to combine the teachings of Cox within the system of Bal because associating digital signature to document such as web page provides users with certain confidence that it is safe to execute programs invoked by the web pages they are visiting.

- 5. As per claim 2, Bal as modified discloses the method as recited in claim 1. Bal as modified further discloses the associating further comprises attaching the digital signature to the web page (Cox: column 5 lines 66 column 6 line 3: the digital certificate can be incorporated in stored electronic signal).
- 6. As per claim 3, Bal as modified discloses the method as recited in claim 1. Bal as modified further discloses determining if the web page includes code to invoke a control object; and deriving the digital signature and associating the digital signature with the web page only if the web page includes code to invoke a control object (Bal: column 7 lines 29-51: determined that a control object is present in the web page and then authenticate whether the web site is authorized).
- 7. As per claim 4, Bal as modified discloses the method as recited in claim 1. Bal as modified further discloses wherein the web page includes a confirmation module that is used by the electronic device to authenticate the digital signature (Cox: column 7 lines 1-21: approve URL; Bal: column 7 lines 41-52).

Art Unit: 2131

- 8. As per claim 5, Bal as modified discloses the method as recited in claim 1. Bal as modified further discloses wherein the web page contains script that, when executed, invokes executable code that is executed on the electronic device executing the web page (Bal: column 5 lines 41-45: scripts, objects, documents, etc are included in web pages; column 6 lines 2-5: invoke the software object).
- 9. As per claim 6, Bal as modified discloses the method as recited in claim 1. Bal as modified further discloses the web page is generated in an active server page (ASP) environment (Bal: column 5 lines 8-28: the ActiveX compatible browsers).
- 10. As per claim 7, Bal discloses a method, comprising: receiving a web page from a server, the web page containing executable script that, when executed, invokes a control object (Bal: column 7 lines 26-51), the web page having URL that can be used to identifies a source of the web page (Bal: column 7 lines 26-51); determining whether the source of the web page is authentic via the URL (Bal: column 7 lines 26-51); and in an event that the source of the web page is authentic, displaying the web page and invoking the control object (Bal: column 7 lines 5-10). Bal does not explicitly disclose associating a digital signature with a web page and authenticating the web page based on the digital signature. However, Cox discloses attaching digital signatures to web contents including web pages are well known in the art (Cox: column 5 lines 7-21 and column 5 line 54 column 6 line 12). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill to use the URL to determine whether digital signature associated with the web page is secure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 2131

the time of applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Cox within the system of Bal because associating digital signature to document such as web page provides users with certain confidence that it is safe to execute programs invoked by the web pages they are visiting.

Page 5

- 11. As per claim 8, Bal as modified discloses the method as recited in claim 7. Bal as modified further discloses in an event that the source of the web page is not authentic, refusing to invoke the control object (Bal: column 7 lines 5-10).
- 12. As per claim 9, Bal as modified discloses the method as recited in claim 7. Bal as modified further discloses wherein the determining further comprises identifying the source of the web page (Cox: column 7 lines 1-21).
- 13. As per claim 10, Bal discloses the method as recited in claim 7. Bal as modified further discloses designating one or more authorized sources from which a web page that invokes a control object may be received (Bal: column 6 lines 20-28: use the ICSP-authorized web site); and executing script contained in the web page only if the determining indicates that the web page was received from one of one or more authorized sources (Bal: column 7 lines 5-10).
- 14. As per claim 17, Bal discloses a system, comprising: a web browser configured to access a web page having a URL (Bal: column 1 line 19 - column 3 line 19); a processor configured to execute script contained in the web page (Bal: column 1 line 19 – column 3 line 19); an executable control object that may be invoked by the script in the web page and is executable on

Art Unit: 2131

the processor (Bal: column 1 line 19 - column 3 line 19); and a confirmation module configured to authenticate the web page to determine based on authenticity of the digital signature, whether the control object should be invoked (Bal: column 1 line 19 - column 3 line 19; column 7 lines 26-51). Bal does not explicitly disclose associating a digital signature with a web page and authenticating the web page based on the digital signature. However, Cox discloses attaching digital signatures to web contents including web pages are well known in the art (Cox: column 5 lines 7-21 and column 5 line 54 – column 6 line 12). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill to use the URL to determine whether digital signature associated with the web page is secure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Cox within the system of Bal because associating digital signature to document such as web page provides users with certain confidence that it is safe to execute programs invoked by the web pages they are visiting.

Page 6

- 15. As per claim 18, Bal as modified discloses the system as recited in claim 17. Bal as modified further discloses wherein the confirmation module is called by the control object (Bal: column 6 lines 20-29).
- 16. As per claim 19, Bal as modified discloses the system as recited in claim 17. Bal as modified further discloses wherein the confirmation module is included in the control object (Bal: column 6 lines 26-29).

Art Unit: 2131

17. As per claim 20, Bal as modified discloses the system as recited in claim 17. Bal as modified further discloses wherein the confirmation module is included in the web browser (Bal: column 6 lines 26-29).

- 18. As per claim 21, Bal as modified discloses the system as recited in claim 17. Bal further discloses wherein the confirmation module is further configured to determine if the web page comes from a source that is authorized to invoke the control object and the control object is invoked only if the source of the web page is authorized to invoke the control object (Bal: column 7 lines 26-51).
- 19. As per claim 22, Bal as modified discloses the system as recited in claim 17. Bal as modified further discloses wherein the confirmation module is called by the web page prior to the web page invoking the control object (Bal: column 7 lines 26-51).
- 20. As per claim 23, Bal as modified discloses the system as recited in claim 17. Bal as modified further discloses wherein the digital signature module is not invoked if the web page does not have a digital signature (Bal: column 7 lines 29-52).
- As per claim 27, Bal discloses a web browser contained on a computer-readable medium of a client computer, comprising computer-executable instructions that, when executed by the client computer, perform the following: determining if a web page contains instructions to invoke a control object (Bal: column 7 lines 26-51); determining if the web page has an URL; in

Art Unit: 2131

an event that the web page has an URL, authenticating the web page using the URL (Bal: column 1 line 19 – column 3 line 19 and column 7 lines 26-51); and invoking the control object if the source of the web page is authenticated (Bal: column 1 line 19 – column 3 line 19; column 7 lines 5-10). Bal does not explicitly disclose associating a digital signature with a web page and authenticating the web page based on the digital signature. However, Cox discloses attaching digital signatures to web contents including web pages are well known in the art (Cox: column 5 lines 7-21 and column 5 line 54 – column 6 line 12). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill to use the URL to determine whether digital signature associated with the web page is secure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Cox within the system of Bal because associating digital signature to document such as web page provides users with certain confidence that it is safe to execute programs invoked by the web pages they are visiting.

Page 8

- 22. As per claim 28, Bal as modified discloses the web browser as recited in claim 27. Bal as modified further discloses the determining if the web page contains executable scripts to invoke a control object (Bal: column 7 lines 26-51); and wherein the authenticating the web page further comprises authenticating the web page only if the web page contains executable script to invoke a control object (Bal: column 7 lines 26-51).
- 23. As per claim 30, Bal as modified discloses the web browser recited in claim 27. Bal as modified further discloses in an event that the web page does not have an associated digital signature, refusing to invoke the control object (Bal: column 7 lines 42-52; Cox: column 7 lines

Art Unit: 2131

1-21: it is essential to authenticate the signature, if the input parameter for authentication is missing, the authentication procedure has failed).

- 24. As per claim 31, Bal as modified discloses the web browser as recited in claim 27. Bal as modified further discloses instructions to determine if an authenticated web page comes from a source that is authorized to invoke the control object (Bal: column 7 lines 26-51).
- 25. As per claim 32, Bal discloses a control object stored in a computer-readable medium, comprising computer-executable instructions that, when executed on a computer, perform the following: authenticating a web page that invokes the control object, wherein the authenticating is performed based on the URL of the web page (Bal: column 6 line 13-28); and executing a data-handling task on the computer if the web page is determined to be authentic (Bal: column 7 lines 5-10). Bal does not explicitly disclose associating a digital signature with a web page and authenticating the web page based on the digital signature. However, Cox discloses attaching digital signatures to web contents including web pages are well known in the art (Cox: column 5 lines 7-21 and column 5 line 54 column 6 line 12). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill to use the URL to determine whether digital signature associated with the web page is secure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Cox within the system of Bal because associating digital signature to document such as web page provides users with certain confidence that it is safe to execute programs invoked by the web pages they are visiting.

Art Unit: 2131

26. As per claim 34, Bal as modified discloses the control object as recited in claim 32. Bal as modified further discloses instructions to determine if a source of the web page is authorized to invoke the data-handling task prior to executing the data-handling task (Bal: column 6 lines 20-29: ICSP authorized web site).

Response to Arguments

27. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, 17-23, 27, 28, 30-32, and 34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shin-Hon Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2131

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shin-Hon Chen Examiner Art Unit 2131

Primarexaminer AUZI31 1/13/06

SC